

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:
Frank Ruhle

APPLICATION NO.: 10/580,362

CONF. NO.: 1703

FILED: May 23, 2006

ART UNIT: 2873

EXAMINER: Dinh, Jack

FOR: COLLIMATING OPTICAL MEMBER FOR REAL WORLD SIMULATION

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

Response to Restriction Requirement begins on page 2 of this paper.

Amendments to the Claims begin on page 3 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments being on page 15 of this paper.

Response to Restriction Requirement Under 35 U.S.C. 121

In the restriction requirement dated June 30, 2008, Applicant is required to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant hereby elects the species of Figure 4-6. All of these figures together are appropriate as all elements of these figures are included in Figure 6. The only difference between Figure 6 and Figure 4, for example is the increased focal length. Claims 1-14 and 16-37 read on the elected species.

Applicant expressly reserves the right to file a divisional application directed to the non-elected claims of this application.